## A Multi-Wavelength Perspective of the Massive Protostar AFGL 2136 IRS 1

<u>Nick Indriolo (STScI)</u>, T. Hirota (NAOJ), L. Maud (ESO), D. A. Neufeld (JHU), A. G. Barr (Leiden), A. C. A. Boogert (IfA), C. N. DeWitt (USRA), A. Karska (Torun), M. J. Richter (U.C. Davis), A. G. G. M. Tielens (Leiden)

#### Outline

- Background
  - Previous observations
  - Source characteristics
- IR absorption observations (EXES, TEXES, CRIRES)
- ALMA band 7 (320-340 GHz) observations
- Interpretation of combined data sets
- Summary

# Background

#### Large Scale CO Emission

JCMT CO J=3-2 emission shows bipolar outflows



Maud et al. 2018, A&A 620, A31

#### Near IR Imaging

- Observed in H and K bands with Subaru/CIAO
- Extended diffuse structure is visible, but there is a single bright point source in K band
- Polarization vectors are consistent with an optically thick dust disk around the central source



#### Mid IR Imaging

- Observed at 24.5 μm with Subaru/COMICS
- Central source is still the brightest object
- Emission is resolved, so this is the warm dust in the envelope and/or cavity walls



de Wit et al. 2009 A&A 494, 157

#### Mid IR Interferometry

- Multiple studies have used VLTI/MIDI (8-13 μm) observations to constrain source geometries on the scale of tens of mas
- Results have a dependence on what goes into the models that are used to interpret the visibilities
  - 2D gaussian (top)
  - disk with inner+outer radii, envelope, outflow cavities (bottom)



Boley et al. 2013 A&A 558, A24



Frost et al. 2021 A&A 648, A62

#### mm Interferometry

- 1.3 mm continuum shows disk-like or ring-like morphology (consider freefree contribution from central object)
- H<sub>2</sub>O 5<sub>5,0</sub>-6<sub>4,3</sub> ν<sub>2</sub>=1 (*E<sub>u</sub>*=3461.9 K) emission shows a Keplerian disk
- R=120 AU; M=45 M<sub>☉</sub>; Inc.=40° ± 5°

100

50

-50

-100

100

50

Declination Offset (mas)

(a)



Maud et al. 2019 A&A 627, L6

0

#### 22 GHz H<sub>2</sub>O Masers

A&A 414, 289



- 43 GHz continuum elongated roughly perpendicular to 1.3 mm disk major axis
- Potentially traces free-free emission from a jet
- Cluster of H<sub>2</sub>O masers, but 0.3 arcsec uncertainty in relative registration precludes association with 43 GHz continuum
- Bandwidth only covered LSR velocities 16-36 km/s
- Redshifted from systemic velocity, so not the near-side outflow. Maybe accretion shock?



#### 22 GHz H<sub>2</sub>O Masers

A&A 414, 289

Menten & van der Tak 2004



- 43 GHz continuum elongated roughly perpendicular to 1.3 mm disk major axis
- Potentially traces free-free emission from a jet
- Cluster of H<sub>2</sub>O masers, but 0.3 arcsec uncertainty in relative registration precludes association with 43 GHz continuum
- Bandwidth only covered LSR velocities 16-36 km/s
- Redshifted from systemic velocity, so not the near-side outflow. Maybe accretion shock?



Relative position unknown! Only for size comparison!

#### AFGL 2136 Overall Picture



# **IR** Absorption

Indriolo et al. 2020 ApJ 894, 107

- $H_2O v_1$  and  $v_3$
- CO v=2-0 P(34)-P(37)
- HF v=1-0 R(0)-R(2)
- Unidentified
- H Pfund 19-5, 18-5

## VLT CRIRES



Indriolo et al. 2020 ApJ 894, 107

- H<sub>2</sub>O ν<sub>2</sub>
- H<sub>2</sub><sup>18</sup>Ο ν<sub>2</sub>

#### SOFIA EXES



EXES Spectra of AFGL 2136 IRS 1

Indriolo et al. 2020 ApJ 894, 107

- H<sub>2</sub>O rotational
- C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>
- NH<sub>3</sub>
- HCN

### Gemini N. TEXES



**Our Galactic Ecosystem** 

#### **Rotation Diagrams**

- Rotational state column densities show a linear relation in ln(N/g) vs. E<sub>1</sub>, but with significant scatter
- Scatter is not related to transition branch (P,Q,R), nuclear spin (ortho, para), or wavelength
- Seems to indicate that many states are underpopulated with respect to the linear relation seen in the upper envelope of points



Rotation Diagrams for Unblended H<sub>2</sub>O Transitions

#### **Rotation Diagrams**

- There appears to be a correlation between deviation from the linear relation and depth of the absorption feature from which the column density was measured (optically thin limit)
- Suggests that the model of an optically thin absorbing slab is invalid
- Absorbing gas may be mixed with the warm dust that provides continuum emission
- Requires temperature inversion in disk (i.e., warmer midplane)



Our Galactic Ecosystem

#### Statistical Equilibrium Analysis

- Best-fit temperature, gas density, and H<sub>2</sub>O column density found by analyzing level populations
- Collision dominated
  - $T = 506 \pm 25 \text{ K}$
  - $n(H_2) \gtrsim 5 \times 10^9 \, \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$
  - $L \lesssim 1.1 \text{ AU}$
- Radiative dominated
  - T is unconstrained
  - $n(H_2) \lesssim 10^6 \, {\rm cm}^{-3}$
  - 700 K blackbody subtends  $2\pi$  sr
  - $L \gtrsim 5500 \text{ AU}$



Indriolo et al. 2013 ApJ 776, 8

# Where is the H<sub>2</sub>O Absorbing Gas?

#### Warning!

- From this point forward, all figures shown are preliminary.
- ALMA data being presented are the standard pipeline products, and have NOT been reprocessed using optimal inputs/constraints/masks/etc.
- Viewer discretion is advised

#### Cycle 7 ALMA Observations

#### Integrated intensity maps of H<sub>2</sub>O emission lines



#### Cycle 7 ALMA Observations

#### Integrated intensity maps of SO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>OH



March 2, 2022

Our Galactic Ecosystem



336 GHz  $H_2O$  emission profile is consistent with that of the 232 GHz  $H_2O$  line extracted from the data cube presented in Maud et al. 2019



321 GHz H<sub>2</sub>O line shows strong maser component



- 321 GHz H<sub>2</sub>O line profile is not consistent with a Keplerian disk, suggesting non-thermal excitation
- Similar to observations in Ori source I (Hirota et al. 2012-2018)



 IR H<sub>2</sub>O absorption is reasonably well-matched to the 321 GHz emission profile



- Velocity profiles of SO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>OH emission are not consistent with H<sub>2</sub>O absorption
- H<sub>2</sub>O absorption is not caused by a more spatially extended component that happens to pass in front of the central object

#### Toy Model of Disk Absorption









**Our Galactic Ecosystem** 

2

#### IR vs mm Continuum



ALMA 1.25 mm continuum

Avenhaus et al. 2018 ApJ 863, 44 VLT/SPHERE H band (~1.6  $\mu m)$  scattered light

 Evidence for different structures seen in disks around low mass stars

#### Summary

- AFGL 2136 has been observed across a wide range of wavelengths at various spectral and angular resolutions.
- IR absorption lines show relative depths that are not consistent with an optically thin absorbing slab model.
- If the absorbing gas and emitting dust are mixed, then the depth of the τ=1 surface where blackbody emission arises depends on wavelength due to dust opacity and line absorption. As a result, the weakest absorption lines probe the deepest into the region.
- ALMA observations show that hot water emission is spatially constrained to the circumstellar disk.
- Non-thermal emission in the 321 GHz H<sub>2</sub>O line has a velocity profile that roughly matches IR H<sub>2</sub>O absorption.
- H<sub>2</sub>O absorption in AFGL 2136 is either coming from a portion of disk itself, or from a compact region that is spatially coincident with the disk, in the foreground, and redshifted with respect to systemic

# Ancillary Slides

#### **Absorption Line Profiles**

#### 1.0 V3 102.8-92.7 1.00 m 0.8 Relative Intensity 164,13-151,14 V2 103.8-104.7 0.6 0.95 V2 6 mm 0.4 V3 84, 4-72, 5 V2 82.7-83 0.2 0.90 (b) V3 143.11-133.10 0.0 1.00 Relative Intensity -MA V2 11, 1-00.0 V1 84.5-71.0 0.75 Relative Intensity 0.85 HCI P(4) 0.50 (c) 0.25 1.0 0.80 CO v=2-0 P(35) x3 H180 Relative Intensity 0.9 HF R(1) NH3 V2 Q(4, 3)a 0.8 0.75 HF R(0) HCN V2 R(18) 0.7 C2H2 V3 R(15) $H_3^+ R(1, 1)^{\circ}$ H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> R(1, 0) 0.6 0.70 (d) 0.5 ALMA (e) 232 GHz Flux (mJy) 10 U2.492555 0.65 0 U2.493645 (a) -1, 55, 0-64.3 16 -1060 60 -100 10 20 30 40 50 -1010 20 30 40 50 0 LSR Velocity (km/s) LSR Velocity (km/s)

#### Select Molecular Absorption Line Profiles

- Primarily 2 different types of line profiles
  - Broad & redshifted
  - Narrow & systemic
- Neither matches the H<sub>2</sub>O emission profile of the disk from ALMA





Our Galactic Ecosystem